

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,701	04/12/2001		Tom Ren	REN,01B	5019
7590 11/30/2004		11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Tim W. Curington				TRUONG, CAM Y T	
17427 Rolling Creek Houston, TX 77090				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2162	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/834,701	REN ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cam Y T Truong	2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12/11/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the latatutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	;		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 17-23</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	/			
10. Other:		Skow			
	,	SHAHID ALAM			

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that Robertson does not teach the claimed limitation "receiving records of individuals from an existing database automatically and without registration by the individuals". Robertson teaches whenever a second user changes any information in any data field of his data record, the information in that field is automatically updated in the information database of each first user whom he has given permission to view the information in that data field. When the system automatically updates any data field of the first users by using changed field of the second user, the system automatically receives change of any data field of the second users and automatically populate changed field of the second users in the data field of the first user. The above information shows that the first user automatically receives the data fields of second user whenever the second user allows the first user to view their record. It means that the second user does not register for sending his or her records to the first user (col. 3, lines 10-25).